

U.S. Member Body of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO)





U.S. National Committee of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

<u>USNC</u>

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- * To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ✤ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- * To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Ken Gettman
Telephone/Telefax:	703-841-3254
Email:	Ken gettman@nema.org
Date:	14 November 2016

Meeting of IEC TC121: Switchgear and Controlgear and their Assemblies for Low-Voltage (Designation/Title) Date(s) 14 October 2016 Location: Frankfurt, Germany

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE				
Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:				
There were approximately 40 delegates attending the meeting from the following countries: Canada, China, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, India, Italy, Japan, Republic of Korea, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom, USA.				
Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available				
Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).				
n/a				
MEETING OBSERVATIONS				
2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?				
 Very Successful U.S. positions were accepted in whole X_ Successful Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S. Not Successful U.S. positions were not accepted 				
3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.				
 - in one discussion it was noted that a goal of the committee is to publish TC 63058 that addresses Environmentally Conscious Design principles, and eco-design, and will serve as an industry resource concerning environmental impact. There will also be specific product rules, material declaration guidance, End-of-Life information and resources efficiency aspects. - the concept of risk assessment of standards was discussed with respect to IEC Guide 116, where the evaluation is mandated by the EU but the guide itself is optional. It was noted that the assessments are generally not published but could be made available. Also, it was pointed out that the analysis typically leads to proposed revisions to address concerns. 				
4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)				
no				
5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?				
Yes _X No (If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)				
6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?				

Yes	_X_	No	
If yes, please identify:			

7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)				
	Yes _X No If yes, please identify:				
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?				
	Yes _X No If yes, please identify:				
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?				
	Yes _X No If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:				
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?				
	Yes X No No related regional activity If yes, please explain:				
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?				
impac	X Yes No If yes, please explain: Issues related to environmental concepts being adopted in emerging markets could t the acceptance of standards under this committee.				
12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?				
	YesX_No If yes, please explain:				
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.				
	n/a				

14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for
	publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you
	be willing to help develop an article for publication?

Ves	X	No
res	_A_	INO

15. What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation's goal of "global standards that reflect U.S. interests?" (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)

Generally, US concerns are addressed by the committee in recognition of the expandingadoption of IEC standards for US domestic certification and of the size of the US market.16.Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?

X Yes __ No

17. Do you have any questions, comments, or suggestions regarding the ISO/IEC Directives, including the IEC Supplement?

May 2016